UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

- v - : 07 Cr. 1173 (CM)

RENE J. GARCIA, JR.,

Defendant. : ----x

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SUPPRESS

LEONARD F. JOY, ESQ.

Federal Defenders of New York, Inc.

Attorney for Defendant

RENE J. GARCIA, JR.

300 Quarropas Street, Room 260 White Plains, New York 10601

Tel.: (914) 428-7126

PAUL E. DAVISON, ESQ.

Of Counsel

TO: MICHAEL GARCIA, ESQ.

United States Attorney

Southern District of New York

300 Quarropas Street

White Plains, New York 10601

Attn: ANNA M. SKOTKO, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----Σ

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

- v - : 07 Cr. 1173 (CM)

RENE J. GARCIA, JR.,

Defendant. :

Preliminary Statement

This memorandum is respectfully submitted in support of defendant's motion to suppress evidence – including but not limited to an inoperable handgun – which was seized by police officers from the City of Mount Vernon incident to a warrantless encounter with the defendant on October 12, 2007.

Background

Rene J. Garcia, Jr., is charged as a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(e). The charged is based on the October 12, 2007 seizure of an inoperable handgun from the defendant incident to a warrantless encounter with the Mount Vernon police. The defense seeks suppression of the handgun on the ground that this evidence was obtained through a violation of the defendant's Fourth Amendment rights.

Argument

The Government bears the burden of establishing the lawfulness of a warrantless seizure. See United States v. Carhee, 27 F.3d 1493, 1496 (10th Cir. 1994). Here, the defendant was approached by the police, and was detained and searched without a warrant. Accordingly, all

¹Pursuant to the § 924(e) allegations, the defendant faces a 15 year mandatory minimum sentence.

evidence obtained by the police as a result of this encounter should be suppressed, or, in the alternative, this Court should hold a hearing to determine the admissibility of the evidence.

Conclusion

For all these reasons, defendant's motions to suppress should be granted in all respects.

Dated: White Plains, New York February 29, 2008

Yours, etc.

LEONARD F. JOY, ESQ. Federal Defenders of New York, Inc.

By:

PAUL E. DAVISON, ESQ. Attorney for the Defendant RENE J. GARCIA, JR. 300 Quarropas Street White Plains, New York 10601 (914) 428-7126

To: MICHAEL GARCIA, ESQ. United States Attorney

> Southern District of New York 300 Quarropas Street

> White Plains, New York 10601

Attn: ANNA M. SKOTKO, ESQ,

Assistant United States Attorney